

NEW JERSEY MILITIA NEWSLETTER

Volume XVII, Issue No. 4

October 2011

All persons are by nature free and independent, and have certain natural and unalienable rights, among which are those of enjoying and defending life and liberty, of acquiring, possessing, and protecting property, and of pursuing and obtaining safety and happiness.

-- Article 1, Section 1, New Jersey State Constitution

The Elite Openly Show Hate of the U.S. Constitution

It turns out that the U.S. Constitution does not fit well with the benevolent futuristic "Big Brother" totalitarianism that the ruling elite wants to impose on us. They believe that the "rights of the individual" must be greatly restricted for the "good of society" and for the "good of the environment".

At more than 90 percent of U.S. law schools today, the Constitution is portrayed as hopelessly outdated or as a "living, breathing document" that we are free to alter as society "progresses". Law students are being taught that our founding fathers were racist, sexist, homophobic bigots that did the best that they could at the time.

I personally sat in law school classes and listened to law professors trash the U.S. Constitution.

Now the mainstream media has chimed in.

The latest issue of *Time* has a picture of a half-shredded U.S. Constitution on the cover with the words "Does It Still Matter?" emblazoned across it.

Time writer Richard Stengel insists that "We can pat ourselves on the back about the past 223 years, but we cannot let the Constitution become an obstacle to the U.S.'s moving into the future with a sensible health care system, a globalized economy, an evolving sense of civil and political rights."

In "Is It Time To Update The U.S. Constitution?" CNN's Fareed Zakaria declared that "any talk of revising or revisiting the U.S. Constitution is, of course, seen as heresy. The Constitution was, as you know, drafted in a cramped room in Philadelphia in 1787 with shades drawn

over the windows. It was signed by 39 people."

James Altucher, managing partner at Formula Capital, wrote in his article "July 4th Is A Scam" "...Most importantly, let's not view the Constitution as gospel. Countries, people, systems, technology evolves. As they do, it's important to see what from the past is good and what can be discarded."

Others among the elite are not afraid to openly declare hate for the U.S. Constitution.

For example, Michael Klarman of Harvard University Law School delivered a Constitution Day lecture at Johns Hopkins University last September 16th. His lecture, entitled "A Skeptical View of Constitution Worship", was a blistering assault on the U.S. Constitution.

Klarman said, "I have four points I want to make today against constitutional idolatry, which is my label for our misguided tendency to blindly worship the Constitution, giving it credit for all the things we love and honor about our country."

(1) *The Framers' constitution, to a large degree, represented values we should abhor or at least reject today.*

(2) *There are parts of the Constitution with which we are still stuck today even though we would never freely choose them and they are impossible to defend based on contemporary values.*

(3) *For the most part, the Constitution is irrelevant to the current political design of our nation.*

(4) *The rights protections we do enjoy today, the importance of which I do not minimize, are mostly a function of political and social mores, which have dramatically evolved over time and owe relatively little to courts using the Constitution to protect them."*

Remember, Barack Obama went to the law school at Harvard University. Hordes of future politicians and judges and law professors go through that place.

Klarman's remarks were noticed over at *The Economist*. It is an open secret that *The Economist* is a mouthpiece for the global banking elite. Their article "The Perils Of Constitution Worship" stated, "Indeed, there is something infantile in the belief of the constitution-worshippers that the complex political arguments of today can be settled by simple fidelity to a document written in the 18th century."

In the United States today there is a tug of war over the Constitution. Either the "constitutional revival" being spearheaded by the Patriot movement and the Tea Party movement will eventually win, or the ruling elite and the mainstream media will eventually win.

So will you stand up for the U.S. Constitution?

Great tests for this nation are coming. We are standing right on the verge of the economic collapse of America. Our loyalty to the Constitution will be pressed to the limit as society descends into anarchy.

-- <http://endoftheamericandream.com>
July 4, 2011, anonymous author

Supreme Court faces new gun control cases

The Supreme Court is being asked again to redefine rights to gun ownership in a petition filed by a Maryland man who was arrested for carrying a handgun in his backpack.

He argues that he should not need a license after the Supreme Court's ruling three years ago in *District of Columbia v. Heller* which ruled the Second Amendment gives all law-

abiding adult citizens a right to keep guns in their homes.

In the pending case of *Williams v. Maryland*, Charles F. Williams is trying to overturn his 2008 conviction, for carrying a legally purchased firearm in public without a permit.

His attorney argues in the Supreme Court brief that Maryland law is so restrictive on gun ownership that it violates the Second Amendment's "right of the people to keep and bear arms."

The Supreme Court's "analyses and plain statements" in the *Heller* decision show "the right to bear arms exists outside the home," Williams says in his brief.

He also cited a Supreme Court ruling last year in *McDonald v. Chicago* that says state and local governments have no right to be more restrictive of gun ownership beyond the limits set by Congress.

The anti-gun Brady Center to Prevent Gun Violence said that "Three years and more than 400 legal challenges later, courts - so far - have held that the Supreme Court's ruling in *Heller* was narrow and limited, and that the Second Amendment does not interfere with the people's right to enact legislation protecting families and communities from gun violence."

Maryland's highest court, the Court of Appeals, upheld Williams' conviction.

"If the Supreme Court ...meant its holding to extend beyond home possession, it will need to say so more plainly," the Maryland Court of Appeals ruling says.

Instead, "the Supreme Court considered a narrow question, namely whether 'the District [of Columbia's] ban on handgun possession in the home violate[d] the Second Amendment,'" the Court of Appeals said. "The [Supreme] Court concluded that, '[u]nlike possession of a gun for protection within a residence, carrying a concealed firearm presents a recognized threat to public order, and is prohibited as a means of preventing physical harm to persons other than the offender.'"

Similar issues of carrying guns outside the home arose in the case of Sean Masciandaro, a businessman who pulled off the road after a tiring day of work to take a nap in a park.

A police officer stopped to check him out, which included looking

in his trunk. He found a handgun that Masciandaro acknowledged was his own.

He was convicted of violating a ban on having a loaded firearm in a vehicle on national parkland.

Masciandaro also filed a recent petition asking the Supreme Court to review his case.

"If there is a Second Amendment right outside the home, it surely applies to law-abiding citizens carrying handguns for self-defense while traveling on public highways," his petition says.

-- Tom Ramstead
www.allheadlinenews.com, Aug. 18, 2011

The due process-free assassination of U.S. citizens is reality

In Jan 10, 2010 Dana Priest of the *Washington Post* reported that after the Sept. 11 attacks, Pres. Bush gave the CIA, and later the military, authority to kill U.S. citizens abroad if strong evidence existed that an American was involved in organizing or carrying out terrorist actions against the U.S. or U.S. interests.

The Obama administration has adopted the same stance. If a U.S. citizen joins al-Qaeda, "it doesn't really change anything from the standpoint of whether we can target them," a senior administration official said. "They are then part of the enemy." Both the CIA and the Joint Special Operations Command maintain lists of individuals, called "High Value Targets" and "High Value Individuals," whom they seek to kill or capture. The JSOC list includes three Americans, including [Islamic cleric Anwar] al-Awlaki. As of several months ago [as of Jan. 2010], the CIA list included three U.S. citizens, and an intelligence official said that al-Awalki's name has now been added.

Thus the Obama administration has ordered the assassination of American citizens without any due process. No effort was made to indict Anwar al-Awlaki for any crimes (despite a report last October that the Obama administration was "considering" indicting him). Despite substantial doubt among Yemen experts about whether he even has any operational role in Al Qaeda, no evidence (as opposed to unverified government accusations) was presented of his guilt. When Awlaki's father sought a court order barring

Obama from killing his son, the DOJ argued that such decisions were "state secrets" and thus beyond the scrutiny of the courts. He was simply ordered killed by the President: his judge, jury and executioner.

After several unsuccessful efforts to assassinate its own citizen, the U.S. succeeded today. It almost certainly was able to find and kill Awlaki with the help of its long-time close friend President Saleh, who took a little time off from murdering his own citizens to help the U.S. murder its. The U.S. thus transformed someone who was, at best, a marginal figure into a martyr, and again showed its true face to the world.

-- Glenn Greenwald,
www.salon.com/news September 30, 2011

Ed.: In a way we can only blame ourselves, for granting Awlaki citizenship in the first place.

Awlaki was born in the U.S. in 1971 while his father, a Yemeni citizen, attended school in New Mexico on a tax-paid Fulbright scholarship. If there were no Fulbright scholarships - which are flat-out unconstitutional - there would have been no U.S. citizenship for Awlaki.

But the problem goes back almost a hundred and fifty years, to the Civil War era, to 1868, to Sec. 1 of the Fourteenth Amendment: "All persons born...in the United States...are citizens of the United States..." Until that is changed anyone born here automatically becomes a U.S. citizen. Who of course should never be subject to assassination.

"Facial profiling"

Law-enforcement agencies from Massachusetts to Arizona are preparing to outfit their forces with controversial hand-held facial-recognition devices as soon as September.

With the device, which attaches to an iPhone, an officer can snap a picture of a face from up to five feet away, or scan a person's irises from up to six inches away, and do an immediate search to see if there is a match with a database of people with criminal records. The gadget also collects fingerprints.

Until recently, this type of portable technology has mostly been limited to military uses, for instance to identify possible insurgents in Iraq or Afghanistan.

-- Emily Steel, Wall St. Journal, July 13, 2011

In the beginning of change the patriot is a scarce man, brave, hated and scorned. When his cause succeeds, however, the timid join him, for then it costs nothing to be a patriot. - Mark Twain

Obama's jobs plan

The Environmental Protection is asking for taxpayers to shoulder the burden of up to 230,000 new bureaucrats — at a cost of \$21 billion.

The EPA aims to regulate greenhouse gas emissions through the Clean Air Act, even though the law doesn't give the EPA explicit power to do so. The agency's authority to move forward is being challenged in court by petitioners who argue that such a decision should be left to Congress.

If the EPA wins the number of businesses forced into this regulatory regime would grow from approximately 14,000 now to as many as 6.1 million.

"While EPA acknowledges that come 2016, the administrative burdens may still be so great that compliance ... may still be absurd or impossible to administer at that time, that does not mean that the Agency is not moving toward the statutory thresholds," the EPA wrote in a September 16 court briefing.

"Hiring the 230,000 full-time employees necessary to produce the 1.4 billion work hours required to address the actual increase in permitting functions would result in an increase in Title V administration costs of \$21 billion per year," the EPA wrote.

The petitioner suing the EPA is the Coalition for Responsible Regulation, a trade group reportedly linked to domestic chemical companies. -- "EPA: Regulations would require 230,000 new employees, \$21 billion", <http://dailycaller.com/2011/09/26/>

Gunfight: The Battle Over the Right to Bear Arms in America

By Adam Winkler

In his first book, *Daily Beast* columnist Winkler (Constitutional Law/UCLA) takes on the contentious issue of gun control in the United States.

There have always been plenty of guns in America, but also plenty of gun control. For the author, there remains a need for both, yet extremist positions have emerged on both sides. "Gun nuts" argue for the absolute right of individuals to arm themselves, "gun grabbers" for a complete ban on all privately owned guns. The Second Amendment to the Constitution has been of little help, as it is not clear if the Amendment meant simply to ensure the formation of state militias or indeed gave the individual the right to bear arms. In 2008, a Washington, D.C., law banning all handguns was challenged before the U.S. Supreme Court, thus putting to the test the meaning of the Second Amendment. The unifying thread of the

book is Winkler's Grisham-like story of the personalities and issues surrounding this case. He also places the current debate within an often surprising historical context. Yes, the Founding Fathers expected white men to have guns for service in the militia, but they also surrounded such gun possession with rules and regulations. The Wild West was not so wild after all. Places like Tombstone and Dodge City had some of the strongest gun laws ever devised in America. Race has played a large part in gun control, as before and after the Civil War black Americans were often terrorized by armed whites, with little legal recourse to arming themselves for self-defense. In 1967, Gov. Ronald Reagan signed into law strict prohibitions on the carrying of arms after the Black Panthers marched into the California Capitol Building armed to the teeth. In the end, the Supreme Court struck down the D.C. law but also noted there remained the right of government to regulate gun ownership. Winkler writes that this decision may open the way for action to truly reduce gun violence, yet unfortunately offers few suggestions for what these actions might be

Detailed, balanced and engrossing — sure to displease both sides of the gun-control debate.

-- Kirkus Reviews August 1, 2011. Pub. Date Sept. 19, 2011

Have gun permit, will not travel -- if police brass win

Forty-eight states - 49 in November, when Wisconsin joins the pack - allow their residents to carry concealed weapons. Illinois remains the only holdout.

Until now, states have been able to set their own rules for concealed-carry permits.

These differences would be obliterated by the National Right-to-Carry Reciprocity Act, which was taken up by a House panel last week. The act would force states that allow concealed-carry to permit out-of-town visitors to tote hidden handguns if they have obtained a license elsewhere. Advocates say that the law will allow law-abiding citizens to defend themselves wherever they are and to ensure that their right to travel - with gun handy - is not impeded by an obstinate state. This approach is bad policy and unnecessary law.

Allowing more guns on the streets and highways would also increase the risks to law enforcement officers, which explains why the International Association of Chiefs of

Police and the Major Cities Chiefs Association are among the organizations that oppose the measure.

--"House takes aim at concealed-carry laws," Washington Post, Sept. 20, 2011

Patriot movement ideology: "Let's pretend."

By G. Edward Griffin

[Ed.: Griffin is responding to Richard Michael, a self-described "common law advocate [who] conducts teleconferences and presentations covering subjects related to sovereignty, common law, constitutions, case law, and government institutions, particularly with relation to grand juries, lawyers, judges, and voting." On Sept. 19, 2011 Richard Michael wrote an article titled "Snake Oil for Sale" in which he argued that: "In order for the Republic to claim its *de jure* status, it must portray the existing governments (all of them) as *de facto*."]

If the *de jure* thesis is not a fanciful myth, where is the foundation for it?

In addition to the lack of foundation, there also is a lack of logic. There is no way to draw a realistic distinction between a *de jure* and a *de facto* government. By definition, a government must be able to compel acceptance of its dictates through physical coercion. If it can do that, it automatically assumes the status of *de jure*, because anyone who claims otherwise becomes an enemy of the state and risks prison or execution as a traitor. *De facto* governments never exist except in the vocabulary of their opponents who want to replace them. All new governments are *de facto* in the eyes of the previous order. However, after they have consolidated their power and are able to discipline their populations through coercion, they become the new *de jure*.

Trying to build a freedom movement on a distinction between *de facto* and *de jure* governments is merely a veiled way of declaring an intent to overthrow the existing government but doing so in a way that sounds less radical. That may fool innocent recruits but it will not fool those in the existing government who are charged with monitoring such things and making sure that treason (in their eyes) is punished.

Holding private elections and accepting political positions such as Senator or Governor or Sheriff within a so-called *de jure* government-in-exile is like little children playing house. Suzie says to her playmates: "I'll be the mommy, you be the daddy, and you will

be the baby." No matter how seriously they take these roles in their let's-pretend world, it is still just a game. It is not the model we should choose in our real battle for freedom. This is in the same category as the alleged significance of all capital letters, avoiding zip codes, straw-men identities, and getting hung up on the corporate nature of all government entities — anything to keep us occupied with pointless theories and endless rhetoric. Meanwhile, we have a real war to win in the power centers that are controlling our lives!

Freedom Force awaits you.
www.freedomforceinternational.org
-- Unfiltered News 2011 Sept. 24-30

Gun buybacks for kids? It's about toys ... and pizza

This was a different kind of gun buyback program.

The guns being bought back weren't 9 mm handguns or Glocks. Instead, they were Nerf guns or toy pistols.

And they weren't being bought with cash. This time, the currency was pizza slices, notebooks and dress shirts.

The FATHERS group and Bona Pizza teamed up Monday for a buyback aimed at younger teens and preteens at the pizzeria at Bailey and Kensington avenues.

The idea was simple: Don't let kids get used to firing weapons, even if they're toys.

"It makes them too comfortable, holding that gun," said Leonard Lane, president of Fathers Armed Together to Help, Educate, Restore and Save.

"A toy gun today, a real gun tomorrow," said Charles Cina, owner of Bona Pizza. "That's what we want to stop."

So kids such as Tarence Callahan, 14, a Buffalo ninth-grader, brought in his green and orange Nerf gun. In return, Tarence got a piece of pepperoni pizza, a snazzy dress shirt and the chance to shoot some hoops in the pizzeria parking lot.

"I learned that you shouldn't have guns and stuff," Tarence said. "It gives kids an education not to play with bad things."

"Bailey Avenue is an area where gun violence is up," Lane said. "Let's be real. The only way we can save this community is to work together—the residents, the businesses—to stop violence."

Lane said the five-hour gun buyback was expected to take about 100 toy guns from young people.

"We've got to be willing to exchange everything and anything to get guns off the street," he added.

So what will the FATHERS group do with the 100 or so toy guns?

"We plan on burying them," Lane said. "We're going to get a coffin and put the guns in the coffin, and we're going to close that coffin and pray."

"It's symbolic — burying the guns that have been burying us."

-- Gene Warner, www.buffalonews.com
Sept. 6, 2011

\$42 million from 7 foundations helped fuel Islamophobia in U.S.

by Faiz Shakir, ThinkProgress

Following a six-month long investigative research project, the Center for American Progress released 130 page report today which reveals that more than \$42 million from seven foundation have helped fan the flames of anti-Muslim hate in America.

The authors — Wajahat Ali, Eli Clifton, Matt Duss, Lee Fang, Scott Keyes, and myself — worked to expose the Islamophobia network in depth, name the major players, connect the dots, and trace the genesis of anti-Muslim propaganda.

The report, titled "Fear Inc.: The Roots of the Islamophobia Network in America," lifts the veil behind the hate, follows the money, and identifies the names of foundations who have given money, how much they have given, and who they have given to:

1) Donors Capital Fund; \$20,768,600; Investigative Project on Terrorism (IPT), Middle East Forum (MEF), Clarion Fund (Clarion), David Horowitz Freedom Center (Horowitz)

2) Richard Scaife foundations; \$7,875,000; Counterterrorism & Security Education and Research Foundation (CTSERF), Center for Security Policy (CSP), Horowitz

3) Lynde and Harry Bradley Foundation; \$5,370,000; MEF, CSP, Horowitz

4) Russell Berrie Foundation; \$3,109,016; IPT, CTSERF, MEF

5) Anchorage Charitable Fund and William Rosenwald Family Fund; \$2,818,229; IPT, CTSERF, MEF, CSP, Clarion, Horowitz

6) Fairbook Foundation; \$1,498,450; IPT, MEF, CSP, Jihad Watch, Horowitz, American Congress for Truth (ACT)

7) Newton and Rochelle Becker foundations; \$1,136,000; IPT, CTSERF, MEF, CSP, Clarion, Horowitz, ACT

Total: \$42,575,295

The money has flowed into the hands of five key "experts" and "scholars" who comprise the central nervous system of anti-Muslim propaganda:

1) FRANK GAFFNEY, Center for Security Policy — "A mosque that is used to promote a seditious program, which is what Sharia is...that is not a protected religious practice, that is in fact sedition."

2) DAVID YERUSHALMI, Society of Americans for National Existence: "Muslim civilization is at war with Judeo-Christian civilization...the Muslim peoples, those committed to Islam as we know it today, are our enemies."

3) DANIEL PIPES, Middle East Forum: "All immigrants bring exotic customs and attitudes, but Muslim customs are more troublesome than most."

4) ROBERT SPENCER, Jihad Watch: "Of course, as I have pointed out many times, traditional Islam itself is not moderate or peaceful. It is the only major world religion with a developed doctrine and tradition of warfare against unbelievers."

5) STEVEN EMERSON, Investigative Project on Terrorism: "One of the world's great religions — which has more than 1.4 billion adherents — somehow sanctions genocide, planned genocide, as part of its religious doctrine."

These five "scholars" are assisted in their outreach efforts by Brigitte Gabriel (founder, ACT! for America), Pamela Geller (co-founder, Stop Islamization of America), and David Horowitz (supporter of Robert Spencer's Jihad Watch). As the report details, information is then disseminated through conservative organizations like the Eagle Forum, the religious right, Fox News, and politicians such as Allen West and Newt Gingrich.

Over the past few years, the Islamophobia network (the funders, scholars, grassroots activists, media amplifiers, and political validators) have worked hard to push narratives that Obama might be a Muslim, that mosques are incubators of radicalization, and that "radical Islam" has infiltrated all aspects of American society — including the conservative movement. — Sunday 28 August 2011

According to Wikipedia, *ThinkProgress* is an American political blog edited by Faiz Shakir that "provide[s] a forum that advances progressive ideas and policies." It is an outlet of the Center for American Progress.

Retailers warned of anti-gun survey

The National Shooting Sports Foundation (NSSF) -- the trade association for America's firearms industry -- is urging extreme caution should retailers decide to participate in a firearms licensee survey being distributed by anti-gun researcher and activist Garen Wintemute and his colleagues at the University of California at Davis. A letter accompanying the survey, signed by Dr. Wintemute, claims the aim of their research is to better understand "the unique perspective of firearms licensees on important social issues and the firearms business itself."

Dr. Wintemute, who serves as the director of the Violence Prevention Research Program at UC Davis, is perhaps best known for authoring the anti-gun report "Ring of Fire: The Handgun Makers of Southern California." More recently, Dr. Wintemute and his team conducted "undercover operations" of gun shows for their report, "Inside Gun Shows: What Goes on When Everyone Thinks Nobody is Watching."

Given Dr. Wintemute's history, it is clear that this firearms retailer survey will be used as a tool to justify and support legislation to curb the lawful commerce of firearms and the individual rights of law-abiding Americans.

-- www.sofmag.com 6/20/2011

10 Arizona Sheriffs Call for AG Eric Holder to Resign or Be Fired

Sheriff Paul Babeu led a press conference with 9 other Arizona sheriffs today, to blast Attorney General Eric Holder and the Administration for the disastrous 'Operation Fast and Furious', calling it a "betrayal of state law enforcement".

Standing in front of a Phoenix memorial for fallen law enforcement officers, including Border Patrol Agent Brian A. Terry -- murdered as a direct result of the operation -- the sheriffs called for the president to launch an independent investigation and for Holder to step down or be fired. They are demanding that Holder reveal the truth behind the scandal, and believe that those responsible should be criminally accountable for allowing 2,000 guns to be purchased on U.S. Soil and turned over to the Mexican drug cartels.

-- standwitharizona.com/2011/10/07

AG Holder: Perjury for Thee, But Not for Me

Legendary Major League baseball pitcher Roger Clemens faces a retrial for perjury for allegedly lying to Congress when he denied that he had used steroids. (During Clemens' first trial the federal prosecutor caused a mistrial for using hearsay "evidence" the judge had already ruled inadmissible.)

Attorney General Eric Holder, who ultimately is responsible for the Clemens prosecution, told Congress last May that he only had heard of the infamous "Operation Fast and Furious" just a few weeks before. However, Holder had been briefed about this program in 2010. ("ATF Fast and Furious: New documents Show Attorney General Eric Holder was briefed in 2010", cbsnews.com Oct. 3, 2011)

So, assuming that both have lied, which is worst? If Clemens lied, it was about ingesting something that may have let him throw a baseball faster than otherwise may have been the case. Operation Fast and Furious, on the other hand, resulted in scores of people being murdered with weapons that the U.S. government purposely got into the hands of Mexican drug gangs.

Eric Holder will not face scrutiny, as I am sure that the White House and the *New York Times* will come to his aid. In fact, the NYT recently had an article that claimed that only Republicans were making any noise about the memos. Holder will not have to worry about being indicted (especially since prosecutors are not in the habit of indicting themselves).

There are "two Americas," but they are not rich and poor; they are the Ruling Political Classes -- and everyone else.

--
[http://williamlanderson.blogspot.com/2011/10/October 5, 2011](http://williamlanderson.blogspot.com/2011/10/October%205%2C%202011)

Meaningful gun control

"Stop the sale of guns to the FBI, ATF, and the Department of Justice!"

"Protect the People! - Don't let the U.S. Government give guns to Mexican drug cartels!"

CDC demanding child immunization records

By Mike Adams, the Health Ranger
(Natural News) The U.S. Centers for Disease Control is now engaged in a household surveillance program that involves calling U.S. households and intimidating parents into producing child immunization records. As part of what it deems a

National Immunization Survey (NIS), the CDC is alerting households that they will be called by "NORC at the University of Chicago" and they should "have your child's immunization records handy when answering our questions."

This NIS vaccine compliance program is revealed in a letter by Edward J. Sondik, PhD, the director of the *National Center for Health Statistics* at the CDC. The CDC, you may recall, was instrumental in pushing the false swine flu pandemic scare and encouraging governments to order billions of dollars' worth of vaccines from drug companies.

"Your phone number was chosen randomly by computer," explains the letter, which says that the CDC will use your information to generate a map of vaccine compliance - no doubt to later target low-compliance areas with increased vaccination propaganda or even court-ordered immunization mandates like the one we witnessed in Maryland (<http://www.naturalnews.com/022242>)

"It is important for us to interview every household we call to get a complete picture of your area's immunization rates," the CDC letter explains. "Your answers to the NIS will provide information to help improve the nation's health now and in the years ahead."

The NORC.org website openly admits this is all about public health surveillance, saying: "the NIS is one of the largest telephone surveys in the nation and its data are considered the gold standard for public health surveillance on immunization rates."

"Respondents are asked a series of questions about the vaccinations received by selected children (including recommended seasonal flu vaccines)," says NORC. "Respondents are also asked for permission to contact the children's health providers for the sole purpose of obtaining immunization records, providing an important supplement to the household report," NORC explains.

The original source of this is www.norc.org/Research/Project... (but they will no doubt change their page after this NaturalNews story goes live, which is why we posted a screen shot).

"NORC then sends an Immunization History Questionnaire via mail to these health providers," it says. And once the data are compiled: "These data are used by the CDC and state and local public health agencies to monitor the potential for disease outbreaks at the community level and to allocate resources for the Vaccines for Children (VFC) Program, which

ensures that all children in the U.S. have access to vaccinations regardless of financial status."

"Public health" has been so perverted and distorted under the government / pharmaceutical collusion regime that instead of teaching people how to prevent disease with nutritious foods, vitamin D and low-cost natural cures, the government is all about injecting infants with vaccines, irradiating women's breasts with mammograms, and outlawing dietary supplements while claiming to be working under the label of "public health."

Under a heading called "Advances in Survey Research," NORC adds something that should raise some red flags among privacy advocates, saying "In collaboration with CDC, NORC has spearheaded an ambitious research program to identify best practices for sampling landline and cell telephones and develop valid statistical approaches for weighting and estimation."

Cell phones? Ambitious research programs? This only begins to make sense when you realize that the CDC awarded \$5 billion grant money to computer surveillance and weapons contractors to develop aggressive public health (vaccine compliance) surveillance programs (<http://www.irconnect.com/noc/press/...>)

If this seems surprising, remember that the Institute of Medicine, another key propaganda mouthpiece for the vaccine industry, is funded in part by the Department of Defense and the Department of Homeland Security. It also receives money from junk food companies and the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation, an aggressive vaccine-pushing non-profit. This was all exposed in an exclusive NaturalNews article available at www.naturalnews.com/033455_I...

What the CDC is also probably not telling you is that people who answer the survey and admit they have not given their children vaccines could in theory be added to a quarantine list to be arrested and thrown into FEMA camps during the next pandemic outbreak.

The recent "terror exercise"

in Denver, called *Operation Mountain Guardian* featured government SWAT teams and armed military-style squads entering public schools and terrorizing children with simulated gunfire and explosions, then conducting secret mass-arrest exercises in a large sports stadium. As Alex Jones explains on InfoWars.com, this is all practice for kidnapping children, taking them to stadiums, then calling parents to come pick them up at which point the parents are then arrested and taken away by law enforcement authorities (<http://www.infowars.com/denver-terr...>) while the children are turned over to the state.

Of course, the CDC claims your privacy will be protected in all this (yeah, right). "Strict U.S. laws require that we protect your family's information and keep it confidential," the CDC's letter says. Importantly, however, there is nothing in the CDC's letter that says it will not *violate* those laws. So it's really just clever lawyer-speak to say that "laws require we protect your information" without saying the CDC will abide by those laws.

After all, there are strict U.S. laws that prevent the ATF from running guns into Mexico, but it violated those laws anyway. There are strict U.S. laws that require the President to seek Congressional approval for acts of war, but those laws have been violated routinely for decades. There are strict U.S. laws that protect the due process of U.S. citizens, and yet the U.S. is steeped in illegal secret prisons and torture initiatives that have actually been *expanded* under the Obama administration. In fact, if there's anything we've all learned about watching the federal government over the last decade, it's that the government will openly and brazenly violate whatever laws it wants in order to get what it wants.

The real agenda of this entire vaccine survey becomes crystal clear at the end of the CDC's letter, which pushes a vaccine agenda with the question: "How do I find out more about immunizations and places to get them?" It then gives out a toll-free number to call to "get the phone number of a doctor of clinic near you."

If you receive one of these letters from the CDC, the safest thing to do in all this is **screen all your phone calls and simply refuse to answer your phone**. Do NOT tell them you are opposed to vaccines, or you may find yourself being visited by Child Protective Services or government thugs wielding loaded firearms pointed at your head. (This is no longer just a theory. It's factually true.)

The government is now admittedly using weapons technology companies, phone surveillance techniques, immunization tracking and statistical analysis to find out who is not being vaccinated. These are police state tactics now being used by the vaccine industry -- in collusion with dangerous government mandates and rogue CPS agents -- to attack your freedom of choice and your right to make parental decisions about the health of your child.

And it's all being done under the false Orwellian mantra that "VACCINES ARE THE SOLUTION FOR PUBLIC HEALTH!" It's all a complete lie, of course. Vaccines cause autism, live viral infections, seizures, comas and even deaths. That's because they contain neurologically damaging adjuvants such as aluminum! They also contain "stealth viruses" that can cause cancer and autoimmune disorders. SANE Vax, Inc. recently found HPV vaccines to be contaminated with the rDNA of HPV viral strains.

What we are all suffering under today, friends, is a vaccine-pushing police state and its accompanying *Ministry of Truth* (the CDC and FDA). This is not about public health, it's about injecting infants and maximizing the profits of the vaccine manufacturers, even if countless children and teens are permanently harmed as a result.

-- Mike Adams is editor of NaturalNews.com; "CDC now calling U.S. households...", naturalnews.com/033717 Sept. 28, 2011

NJM, P.O. Box 10176, Trenton New Jersey 08650

ISSN 1523-4657

www.njmilitia.org

info@njmilitia.org

walnor@keepandbeararms.com

Middlesex County, Art (732) 607-0833

Morris County, Bill (973) 361-3241

Johnson County, TX, Earl (817) 783-2375

Wake County, NC, Dave (919) 521-4147

Newsletter Subscription - Donation \$10.00

Cash or Blank Money Order Only

Name _____

Address _____

City _____ State ____ Zip _____